

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,774 11/01/2000		Neil Jones	594-23292-US	2447	
24923	7590	07/17/2002			
PAUL S MA			EXAMINER		
2603 AUGUS	TA, SUI		MARTIR, LILYBETT		
HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2855	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ph				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	09/703,774	JONES, NEIL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lilybett Martir	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	B6(a). In no event, however, may a rej within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under b Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	;					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Ap	plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has be	en received.				
Attachment(s)	, 1 , 1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 12,20,22,24,30,32,34,36,38,40,46,48,50,52,54,63, and 64. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the propulsion system acting to couple the apparatus to the ocean floor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-12, 15-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2855

Page 3

In claim 11, the recitation of the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set for the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Ex Parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidth et al. (Pat. 5,894,450) in view of Ambs (Pat. 6,002,648). Schmidth et al. Teaches the claimed invention, including:

- A hydro dynamically efficient shaped body as in elements 22-26, a propulsion unit as in element 92 located in said body, and a control unit as in element 40 for directional control of said propulsion unit, as in claim 1.
- A navigation unit for directing the control unit to a desired location in the ocean bottom (Col. 5, lines 6-8 and lines 28-35), as in claim 2.
- A storage device for storing data sensed (Col. 6, lines 28-31), as in claims 4 and 14.
- Said control unit 40 receiving navigation commands from a navigation system (Col. 5, lines 6-8 and lines 28-35), as in claim 5.

Art Unit: 2855

Page 4

- The control unit communicating an identifier code to the navigation system enabling the navigation system to determine location of the apparatus (Col. 5,lines 5-17), as in claims 6 and 16.
- The navigation system sending a responsive directional command to the apparatus based on the current location and the desired location (Col. 5, lines 5-17 and lines 28-44), as in claims 7 and 17; and inherently receiving said command by means of element 108, as in claims 12 and 15.
- A flight control system for managing a plurality of said apparatuses during navigation (Col. 4, lines 52-55 and Col. 5, lines 5-8 and lines 28-35), as in claims 9 and 19.
- The flight control system being located on a surface support vessel (Col. 5, lines 52-54), as in claims 10 and 20.
- Placing a hydro dynamically efficient shaped body as in elements 22-26 into a fluid above an ocean bottom as shown in Figure 1, energizing a propulsion unit 92 located on said body by means of element 64, and receiving a command by means of element 108 in propulsion unit from a control unit (Col. 5, lines 28-44), as in claim 11.

#### But he does not disclose:

- A seismic device, said seismic device comprising a seismic sensor, as in claims 1,3-4,11,13 and 14.

Art Unit: 2855

- The propulsion system acting to couple the apparatus to the ocean floor, as in claims 8 and 18.

Ambs teaches a marine seismic signal generating and detecting device that comprises a seismic device that is a seismic sensor (Col. 6, lines 42-46), where the apparatus that comprises said sensor also has propeller means 58, positioning and global control communications antennas 44 and 46, and a hydro dynamically efficient shaped body 12 as noted in Figures 1 and 4.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the mobile underwater arrays system of Scmidth et al. using the teachings of the marine seismic signal generating and detecting device of Ambs by providing said system with a seismic sensor for the purpose of making said device versatile. And even though neither Ambs nor Schimidth et al. literally teach utilizing the propulsion systems of their apparatuses for merely and specifically coupling the apparatus to the ocean floor, the teachings of said Inventors do disclose the use of said propulsion devices to propel their devices to pre-determined and chosen positions and therefore said apparatuses are capable of moving to any pre-determined position including acting to couple the apparatus to the ocean floor, and therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize either the mobile underwater arrays system of Scmidth et al. or the marine seismic signal generating and detecting device of Ambs by coupling any of them to the ocean floor for the purpose of detecting any seismic information from a closer range therefore making the measurements made by said device more reliable.

Art Unit: 2855

Page 6

# Citation of Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art considered pertinent during examination of the examined application is:

- Schmidt et al. (Pat. 5,687,137) Methods ans apparatus for adaptive oceanographic sampling. The whole document.
- Masaharu (Pat. 4,069,469) System for collecting information in water.

  The whole document.

Art Unit: 2855

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (703)305-6900. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Fuller can be reached on (703)308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3432 for regular communications and (703)305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Lilybett Martir Examiner Art Unit 2855

*€*ℳ
July 12, 2002

Benjamin R. Fuller Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800